ISSN: 0975-1556

### Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2021; 13(4); 334-341

Original Research Article

# The Functional Outcome Assessment of Arthroscopic Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction using Quadrupled Hamstring Graft Fixed with Bio-absorbable Versus Titanium Interference Screw

# Bibek Kumar Rai<sup>1</sup>, Ajoy Kumar Manav<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 11-06-2021 / Revised: 10-07-2021 / Accepted: 29-07-2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Bibek Kumar Rai

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** The comparative analysis of the functional outcome of arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring graft fixed with bio-absorbable interference screw against titanium interference screw. Methods: The Present comparative study of 100 patients treated with arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft with endobutton as the femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw (no=50) and bio-absorbable interference screw (no=50) as tibial fixation device respectively. Patients with closed growth plate, Primary ACL surgery, no evidence of multiple ligament injury, No previous knee surgeries and No ligamentous injury to contralateral knee were included in this study. Results: Our study is to evaluate the functional outcome of arthroscopic single bundle ACL reconstruction with quadrupled Hamstring graft with transtibial and transportal techniques using endobutton as femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw in 50 patients and bio absorbable interference screw in 50 patients as tibial fixation device. In our study fall and road traffic accidents predominated as the cause of injury accounting for 36% and 46% respectively. Sports injuries accounted for only 18% in contrary to all international studies. In our study 28% of patients had meniscal injury at presentation and medial meniscus injury predominated lateral meniscus injury like other studies. None of our patients had significant chondral damage at diagnostic arthroscopy. In our study we used transtibial or transportal single bundle reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft placing the femoral tunnel between 10 30 and 11'o clock position in the right knee and between 1'o clock and 1 30 position in the left knee. Our study shows that there is no significant difference in the outcomes associated with the use of titanium and bio absorbable interference screws used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Conclusion: Our study shows that there is no difference in functional outcome whether bio absorbable or titanium interference screw was used.

## **Keywords:** absorbable, titanium screw

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

### Introduction

The knee joint is one of the body's most complicated joint.[1] There is an increase in the occurrence of knee ligament injuries due to the ever- increasing road traffic accidents and increased involvement in sports activities.[2] Knee joint has proximal femur bone distally tibia and fibula bone with ligaments and capsules, meniscus, and bursa. Important ligaments are Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), Posterior cruciate Medial Ligament (PCL), collateral Ligament (MCL), Lateral collateral Ligament (LCL).[3] The ACL together with other ligaments, capsule is the primary knee stabilizer and prevents anterior translation, and limits valgus and rotational stress to some extent.[4,5,6] The signs of knee instability, discomfort, and a decrease in joint function arise when an ACL injury occurs.[7,8] Even though patients with less expected knee score can be treated with conservative treatment with intensive lifestyle bracing and physiotherapy, modification can be tried in symptomatic young active individuals, ACL reconstruction is necessary.[9] Also ACL injuries are mostly associated with injury of meniscus which can to be addressed, else person can develop early onset of osteoarthritis of knee .[10]

### Material and methods

This comparative study was carried out in the Department of Orthopaedics, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India from July 2017 to June 2018, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. 100 patients treated with arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft with endobutton as the femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw (no=50) and bio absorbable interference screw (no=50) as tibial fixation device respectively.

ISSN: 0975-1556

# **Inclusion Criteria**

- Patients with closed growth plate
- Primary ACL surgery
- No evidence of multiple ligament injury
- No previous knee surgeries
- No ligamentous injury to contralateral knee

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- Additional ligamentous laxity in affected knee
- Previous ACL surgery of either knee
- Chronic muscle disorders
- Any co-existing local conditions in the form of
- -Active articular infection
- -Inflammatory joint disease
- Metabolic bone disease
- Neoplastic disease

# **Evaluation**

All the patients are subjected for postoperative antero posterior and lateral radiographs to determine the tunnel placement and position of endobutton in femur and interference screw in the tibia. Patients are followed at 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 3months, 6 months and once in 6 months thereafter. All patients are evaluated with Lysholm & Gill Quist scoring.

All patients are evaluated with Lysholm & Gill Quist scoring.			
Knee Scoring Scale of Lysholm & Gill Quist			
Limp	0.5		
None	05		
Slight /periodic or both	03		
Constant or severe or both	00		
Support			
None	05		
Cane or crutch	02		
Weight bearing impossible	00		
Locking			
No locking or catching sensations	15		
Catching, but no locking sensations	10		
Locking – occasionally	06		
Locking – Frequently	02		
Locked on examination	00		
Instability / Giving Way			
Never	25		
Rarely during athletic activity or any other heavy exertion	20		
Frequently during athletics or any other heavy exertion	15		
Rarely in daily activities	10		
Frequently in daily activities	05		
At every step	00		
Pain			
None	25		
Inconstant or slight during heavy exertion	20		
Marked during heavy exertion	15		
Slight during a walk >2 km	10		
Marked during a walk <2 km	05		
Constant	00		
Swelling			
None	10		
Mild on exertion	06		
Marked on exertion	02		
Constant	00		
Stair Climbing			
No problems	10		
Slightly impaired	06		
One step at a time	02		
Impossible	00		
Squatting			
No problems	05		
Slightly impaired	04		
Knee flexion possible only up to 90 degrees	02		
Impossible	00		

ISSN: 0975-1556

# **Statistical Analysis**

Data reported as mean and significant difference between the two groups was studied using Yate's corrected Chi-Square test.

### **Observation and Results**

100 Cases of arthroscopy assisted Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring tendon graft using endobutton as the femoral fixation device

and titanium interference screw (no=50) and bio absorbable interference screw (no=50) as tibial fixation device respectively was followed for 6 months to 1 years. The mean follow up was 11.5 months.

ISSN: 0975-1556

# **Age Distribution**

Minimum age was 20 years and maximum age was 53 with a mean age of 30.5 (Table 1)

**Table 1: Age distribution** 

Age	Patients	Percentage	
Below 20	6	6	
20-25	25	25	
25-30	23	23	
30-35	18	18	
35-40	11	11	
40-45	12	12	
45-50	3	3	
50-55	2	2	
Total	100	100	

### **Sex Distribution**

In this study, 75 patients were males, and 25 patients were females (table 2)

**Table 2: Sex distribution** 

Sex	Patients	Percentage
Male	75	75
Female	25	25
Total	100	100

#### **Side Involved**

In this study, 65 patients had injury in the right knee and 35 patients had injury in the left knee (Table 3)

**Table 3: Side involved** 

Side involved	Patients	Percentage
Right	65	65
Left	35	35
Total	100	100

**Table 4: Mode of Injury** 

Mode of injury	Patients	Percentage	
SPORTS	18	18	
FALL	36	36	
RTA	46	46	
TOTAL	100	100	

**Table 5: Duration of Injury** 

Duration after injury	Patients	Percentage
<6 WEEKS	22	22
6-3 MONTHS	18	18
3-6 MONTHS	24	24
6-12 MONTHS	24	24
>12 MONTHS	12	12
TOTAL	100	100

**Table 6: Associated Injury** 

Associated injury	Patients	Percentage	
Medial meniscus tear	21	21	
Lateral meniscus tear	5	5	
Both	2	2	
Nil	72	72	
Total	100	100	

Greater number of our patients was seen in the younger age group of 20-35 years. Male reponderance was noticed in our study. Right side was involved more commonly than left side. Road traffic accident was the most common cause accounting for ACL injury. Medial meniscus injury was involved more than the lateral meniscus. Most of the patients returned to their prefunctional level at 4 months.

# **Scoring Analysis**

100 patients of arthroscopic acl reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft was followed for a minimum period of 6 months and maximum period of 1 years. All patients are evaluated with Lysholm and Gillquist scoring at the end of 6 months. The maximum score achieved was 100 and minimum score was 58. The scores were graded as

ISSN: 0975-1556

Outcome	Points
Good	84-100
Fair	65-84
Poor	<65

3 patients in titanium interference group and 2 patients in bio absorbable interference screw group lost to followup.

Outcome	Titanium screw (N=50)	Parcantaga	Bio-abs screw (N=50)	Percentage
Good	43	86	43	86
Fair	4	8	5	10
Poor	3	6	2	4

#### Discussion

Our study is to evaluate the functional outcome of arthroscopic single bundle ACL reconstruction with quadrupled Hamstring graft with transtibial and transportal techniques using endobutton as femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw in 50 patients and bio absorbable interference screw in 50 patients as tibial fixation device.

In our study fall and road traffic accidents predominated as the cause of injury

accounting for 36% and 46% respectively. Sports injuries accounted for only 18% in contrary to all international studies. DW Lewis reported 58% meniscal injury associated ACL tear at presentation. Medial meniscus was involved more than the lateral meniscus in his study and he also proposed meniscal repair or resection did not alter the outcome and chondral lesions are a better predictor of functional outcome. Stephen Lyman reported more than 50 % meniscal procedures with reconstructions in 2009. In our study 28% of patients had meniscal injury at presentation and medial meniscus injury predominated lateral meniscus injury like other studies. None of our patients had significant chondral damage at diagnostic arthroscopy.

The fixation of the graft has been proved to be the site of failure rather than the graft itself irrespective of the type of graft especially in the early rehabilitation phase when the graft integration has not taken place and the fixation is of little significance after 8 to 12 weeks when graft has integrated with the bone as proposed by Dawn T Gulick.[11]

In our study we used transtibial or transportal single bundle reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft placing the femoral tunnel between 10 30 and 11'o clock position in the right knee and between 1'o clock and 1 30 position in the left knee. John Paul[12] proposed that placing graft at 10 30 position and 1 30 position in single bundle reconstruction reconstructs portions of anteromedial and posterolateral bundles. Masayoshi Yagi[13] showed that anatomic reconstruction allowed better rotatory stability than non anatomic placements of graft. Asheesh Bedi[14] showed that trans portal placement of tunnel achieved more lateral placement than the trans tibial drilling and trans tibial approach to achieve lateral tunnel placements resulted in over reaming of tibia. Though double bundle reconstructions have gained attraction and have shown double reconstruction to be superior in providing

stability in high demand patients. Adachi, Ochi and Uchio[15] showed no significant advantage of double bundle reconstruction than anatomic single bundle reconstruction in factors of stability and proprioception in general population.

ISSN: 0975-1556

The metallic screws distort the knee MRI wherein bio absorbable screw avoids impairment of imaging. Apart from this metallic screws have to be removed during surgical revision wherein bio absorbable screws would have been degraded. The major disadvantages are screw breakage at the time of insertion and postoperative inflammatory reaction causing synovitis. We did not come across such problems in our study.

In our study, functional outcome evaluated by Lysholm and Gillquist scoring was nearly equal in both titanium interference screw study group and bio absorbable interference screw study group and it is statistically in significant with P value of 0.88. Our study shows that there is no significant difference in the outcomes associated with the use of titanium and bio absorbable interference screws used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.

Since our study was a short term follow up we could not comment about the arthritic changes post operatively. Fox et al[16] reported 3 to 17% incidence of anterior knee pain, compared to 15% in our study, Apostolopoulos[17] reported 10% anterior knee pain. Kurt Spindler[18]stated regular exercise can lead to increased outcomes in 2005.Our patients are put on home based physiotheraphy programe insisting on knee flexion and quadriceps strengthening and mean flexion achieved was 135 degree. JA Grant[19] concluded that home based physiotheraphy is cost effective and not significantly inferior to supervised programmes. As conclusion several factors influence the functional outcome in arthroscopic ACL reconstruction. Factors like graft choice, graft fixation, tunnel placement and graft tensioning play a vital role in altering the final outcomes.

### **Conclusion**

The results of our study were comparable already published reports comparative study done using bio absorsable versus interference metal screws. Our study shows that there is no difference in functional outcome whether bio absorbable or titanium interference screw was used. The success of ACL reconstruction depend on the correct technique used for the surgery, precise placement of graft and rehabilitation methods than on type of graft fixation device used, neither titanium nor bio absorbable screws. The blunt metal or titanium screw has been the de facto standard in graft fixation. Since the alternate bio absorbable screw overcomes some of the potential drawbacks, it should become the de facto standard in the future.

#### Reference

- 1. Simon D, Mascarenhas R, Saltzman BM, et al. The relationship between anterior cruciate ligament injury and osteoarthritis of the knee. Adv Orthop 2015; 2015.
- 2. Brophy RH, Zeltser D, Wright RW, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and concomitant articular cartilage injury: Incidence and treatment. Arthroscopy 2010; 26:112–120.
- 3. Galen C. On the usefulness of the parts of the body. Ithaca Cotnett University Press 1968.
- 4. Bonnet A. Traite Des Maladies Articulaires-2nd Edn. Baillire, Paris 1853; 354-357.
- 5. Stark J. Two cases of rupture of the crucial ligament of the knee-joint. Edinb Med Surg 1850; 74:267–271.
- 6. Ashford WB, Kelly TH, Chapin RW, et al. Predicted quadriceps vs. quadrupled hamstring tendon graft sizing using 3-dimensional MRL. Knee 2018; 25: 1100-1

7. Segond PF. Recherches cliniqueset experimentales sur les epanchementssanguins du genou par entorse. Prog med 1879; 16:297-421.

ISSN: 0975-1556

- 8. Campbell WC. Repair of the ligaments of the knee: Report of an operation for the repair of the anterior cruciate ligament. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1936; 62:964-968.
- 9. Macey HB. A new operative procedure for repair of ruptured cruciate ligament of the knee joint. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1939; 69:108-109.
- 10. Jones KG. Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament using the central one-third of the patellar ligament-A follow-up report. J Bone Join Surg 1970; 52A:1302-1308
- 11. Thomas D Rosenberg Extensor Mechanism after Patellar Tendon Harvest in Acl Reconstruction Ajsm. 1992; 20(5).
- 12. Dawn T. Gulick Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Clinical Outcomes of Patella Tendon and Hamstring Tendon Grafts Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2002; 1:63-71.
- 13. Steiner ME, Hecker AT, Brown CH Jr, Hayes WC. Anterior cruciate ligament graft fixation: comparison of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts. Am j sports Med 1994; 22:240-6.
- 14. Petteri Kousa MD, Teppo L. N. MD, PhD, Ja rvinen,†§ Vihavainen,† Pekka Kannus,†\_ MD, PhD, and Markku Ja" rvinen,† MD, PhD The Fixation Strength of Six Hamstring Tendon Graft Fixation Devices in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction The American Journal Of **Sports** Medicine. American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine, 2003.
- 15. Robert G. Marx, M.D., M.Sc., F.R.C.S.C., and Christopher R. Spock, B.A. Complications Following Hamstring Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction with Femoral Cross-Pin Fixation Arthroscopy: The Journal of

- Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2005; 21(6):762.e1-762.e3.
- 16. Chae-Gwan Kong, MD1, Yong In, MD2, Geon-Hyeong Kim, MD1 Cross Pins versus Endobutton Femoral Fixation in Hamstring Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Minimum 4-Year Follow-Up Knee Surg Relat Res. 2012; 24(1):34-39.
- 17. A Cadaveric Study Relating Transtibial Lateralized Femoral Tunnel Position to the Anteromedial and Posterolateral Bundle Femoral Origins of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Biomechanical Analysis of an Anatomic Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Masayoshi Yagi, Eric K. Wong, Akihiro Kanamori, Richard E. Debski,

Freddie H. Fu and Savio L-Y. Woo Am J Sports Med 2002; 30:660.

ISSN: 0975-1556

- 18. Asheesh Bedi MD, Volker Musahl MD. Volker Steuber MD. Transtibial Versus Anteromedial Portal Reaming in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: An Anatomic and Biomechanical Evaluation of Surgical Technique Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery. 2011; 27(3):380-390.
- 19. Adachi N, Ochi M, Uchio Y, Iwasa J, Kuriwaka MY. Ito Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament SINGLE-Versus Double-Bundle Multi-stranded hamstring Tendons. J Bone Joint Surg [Br]. 2004; 86:515-20. Received, 2003.